JASON M. FRIERSON 1 United States Attorney 2 Nevada Bar Number 7709 JEAN N. RIPLEY Assistant United States Attorney 3 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 4 Tel: 702.388.6336 Jean.Ripley@usdoj.gov 5 Attorneys for the United States 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 Case No.: 2:24-mj-00195-BNW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 Plaintiff, Stipulation to Extend Deadlines to 10 **Conduct Preliminary Hearing and** File Indictment (First Request) v. 11 JORGE RODRIGUEZ-MEDINA, and 12 JOSE MANUEL SANCHEZ-LOBO, 13 Defendants. 14 15 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Jason M. 16 Frierson, United States Attorney, and Jean N. Ripley, Assistant United States Attorney, 17 counsel for the United States of America; Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and 18 LaRonda R. Martin, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for defendant Jose Manuel 19 Sanchez-Lobo; and Robert Draskovich, counsel for defendant Jorge Rodriguez-Medina, 20 that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned case, currently scheduled for March 8, 21 2024, at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued until a time convenient to the Court, but no 22 earlier than 30 days from the date of the filing of this stipulation. 23 24

1 This request requires that the Court extend two deadlines: (1) that a preliminary 2 hearing be conducted within 14 days of a detained defendant's initial appearance, see Fed. 3 R. Crim. P. 5.1(c); and (2) that an information or indictment be filed within 30 days of a defendant's arrest, see 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). 4 5 This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons: 6 1. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 5.1(d) provides that "[w]ith the 7 defendant's consent and upon a showing of good cause—taking into account the public 8 interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases—a magistrate judge may extend the time 9 limits [for preliminary hearings] one or more times." Here, the parties need additional time 10 to consider whether the case can be resolved prior to indictment. 11 2. This continuance is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow the court an opportunity to examine the merits of this case before deciding whether to accept 12 13 the parties' plea agreement. 14 3. Defendants are not in custody and agree to the continuance. 15 4. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of 16 justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the 17 defendants in a speedy trial. 18 5. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing 19 /// 20 ///

21

22

23

24

///

///

///

///

## Case 2:24-mj-00195-BNW Document 14 Filed 03/05/24 Page 3 of 5

1	the time within which indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C
2	§ 3161(b), and considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).
3	
4	DATED this 29th day of February 2024.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney
<ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	/s/ LaRonda R. Martin/s/ Jean N. RipleyLARONDA R. MARTINJean N. RipleyAssistant Federal Public DefenderAssistant United States AttorneyCounsel for Defendant Sanchez-LoboCounsel for the United States
10	/s/ Robert Draskovich Robert Draskovich, Esq. Counsel for Defendant Rodriguez-Medina
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
$_{24}$	

24

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 2:24-mj-00195-BNW

FINDINGS AND ORDER

v.

JORGE RODRIGUEZ-MEDINA, and

JOSE MANUEL SANCHEZ-LOBO,

Defendants.

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. The parties desire to continue the preliminary hearing to facilitate preindictment resolution. The Court finds good cause to continue the hearing to allow the court additional time to decide whether to accept the plea.
- 2. Both counsel for the defendants and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
  - 3. Defendants are not in custody and agree to the continuance.
- 4. The continuance is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow the parties to reach a potential resolution before the government moves forward with further prosecution.
- 5. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

## Case 2:24-mj-00195-BNW Document 14 Filed 03/05/24 Page 5 of 5

1	6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing
2	the time within which indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
3	§ 3161(b), and considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).
4	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the
5	above-captioned matter currently scheduled for March 8, 2024, at 4:00 p.m. be vacated and
6	continued to April 8, 2024, at 3:00 p.m.
7	
8	DATED this _5_ day of _March, 2024.
9	
10	HONORABLE BRENDA WEKSLER
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	